

Life-Cycle Models: Lifetime Earnings and the Timing of Retirement

John Laitner

The University of Michigan

and

Michigan Retirement Research Center

August 10, 2007

Policy Issue

- Fertility and mortality patterns are leading to an aging population. This potentially affects the solvency problems of a number of important public programs.
- The effect will likely be greater if retirement ages trend downward.
- This paper examines the question: Do rising standards of living lead to a trend in retirement ages?

Idea of this Work

- We attempt to use cross-sectional lifetime earnings differences among households to study the connection between higher earnings and retirement age.
- The rich data resources of the Health and Retirement Study, with its linked lifetime earnings records, offer an opportunity to study the connection in detail.

Theoretical Framework

- Economists’ often use the so-called “life-cycle model” to study households’ lifetime behavior. In the model, each household’s behavior reflects the solution of a dynamic utility maximization problem with lifetime budget constraints.
- This project’s intent is to work in the context of the life-cycle model — with a general enough specification to encompass a variety of possible correlations between household earnings and retirement.

Life–Cycle Setup

$$\max_{c_t, R} \int_0^R e^{-\rho \cdot t} \cdot u(c_t, t, R) dt + \varphi(a_R, R)$$

$$\text{subject to: } \dot{a}_t = r \cdot a_t + y_t - c_t,$$

$$a_0 = 0,$$

where

$$\varphi(A, R) \equiv \max_{c_t} \int_R^T e^{-\rho \cdot t} \cdot u(c_t, t, R) dt$$

$$\text{subject to: } \dot{a}_t = r \cdot a_t - c_t,$$

$$a_T \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_R = A.$$

Formulation (II): Additive Preferences

$$u(c, t, R) \equiv \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\gamma} \cdot [c]^\gamma, & \text{if } t < R, \\ \frac{1}{\gamma} \cdot [c]^\gamma + \Gamma, & \text{if } t \geq R. \end{cases}$$

“Free endpoint condition” for optimal retirement:

$$\frac{y_{R-}}{[c_{R-}]^{1-\gamma}} = \Gamma.$$

Modeling Strategy

- Focus on couples.
- HRS data:
 - Extensive
 - Censoring issues
 - Short earning histories for many wives
- Use MLE methods and random effects earnings dynamics model for men and for women. Summary index of earnings for each, μ_i^m and μ_i^f .

Modeling Strategy (cont.)

- Assumptions about behavior:
 - Wife retires either before or with husband; benefits of retirement accrue to household after both have retired
 - Households fall into 3 sets — N, S, and O — and the sample's distribution into these sets is independent of μ_i^m and μ_i^f

Modeling Strategy (cont.)

- Limited covariates at this point (more in future)
- Men who are disabled at retirement treated as if their actual retirement age is a lower bound for their desired retirement age

Estimation

- Free endpoint and other first-order conditions yield equation

$$\Psi(R_i, Z_i, \psi(R_i, \mu_i^m, \mu_i^f)) = 0$$

for household i

- We have

$$\psi \equiv \ln\left(\frac{y_R^m + y_R^f}{Y_R^m + Y_R^f}\right) + \gamma \cdot \ln(Y_R^m + Y_R^f).$$

Estimation (cont.)

- Implicit function theorem and linearization yield

$$R_i = Z_i \cdot \xi + x_i \cdot \alpha_0 + \bar{x}_i \cdot \alpha_1 + \eta_i$$

- We estimate the latter jointly with the earnings dynamics equations
- x_i and \bar{x}_i depend on μ_i^m and μ_i^f and on the given household's set N, S, or O

Analysis of Possible Outcomes

- Cases for Formulation II:
 - $\gamma > 0$ implies upward time trend in retirement age
 - $\gamma = 0$ implies no time trend in retirement age
 - $\gamma < 0$ implies downward time trend in retirement age

Analysis of Possible Outcomes (cont.)

- Sufficiency condition for free endpoint restriction requires

$$\alpha_0 > 0.$$

- We have

$$\alpha_1/\alpha_0 = \gamma.$$

- Thus, α_1 should have same sign as γ

Preliminary Outcomes

Retirement Equation:

Male 16-17 Yrs Education

Sample: 398 households

| Coefficient | Estimate | Std Error |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| DUM26-28 | 0.747371 | 1.675168 |
| DUM29-31 | -0.076558 | 0.656037 |
| DUM32-34 | -0.058474 | 0.480915 |
| DUM35-37 | -0.052306 | 0.371783 |
| DUM38-40 | 0.359175 | 0.414408 |
| DUM41-43 | -0.078523 | 1.003423 |
| h_η | 0.196031 | 0.011516 |
| α_0 | 7.584181 | 4.092284 |
| α_1 | 0.971501 | 0.999989 |

ADDENDUM:

γ 0.128096

Preliminary Outcomes

Retirement Equation:

Male 12 Yrs Education

Sample: 479 households

| Coefficient | Estimate | Std Error |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| DUM26-28 | -1.072518 | 1.451929 |
| DUM29-31 | 0.819704 | 0.472764 |
| DUM32-34 | -0.559213 | 0.369712 |
| DUM35-37 | 0.622135 | 0.304719 |
| DUM38-40 | -0.392488 | 0.364465 |
| DUM41-43 | 2.893666 | 1.182520 |
| h_η | 0.224585 | 0.009617 |
| α_0 | -5.044323 | 3.971068 |
| α_1 | -1.947537 | 1.060381 |

ADDENDUM:

γ 0.386085