Participant Perceptions and Decision-Making Concerning Retirement Benefits

Colleen E. Medill University of Nebraska-Lincoln College of Law



Nebraska Public Employees Retirement System

- Defined Contribution Plan (1964-2002)
- Choice of Distribution Form (vested account balance or annuity)
- Mail Survey to 1,564 Former Participants (terminated or retired in 1997)
- Preliminary Data Based on Response Rate of 7% as of July 17th



Distribution Type Among Survey Respondents (N=79)



Gender Breakdown Among Survey Respondents (N=80)



Education Level Among Survey Respondents (N=79)





Marital Status Among Survey Respondents (N=79)



Mean Age Among Survey Respondents



N

Risk Perceptions Surveyed

- Comparison of 1997 Perception and "Self-Evaluation" in 2007
- Retiree v. Worker, by Type of Distribution
- Risk Factors:
 - Longevity
 - Inflation
 - Investment
 - Medical Expense
 - Long-Term Care (LTC) Expense



Risk Perception: Longevity Retirees v. Workers (1997)



Self-Evaluation of Longevity Risk by Retirees (2007)

- Estimated too low in 1997 (16.67%)
- Estimated about right in 1997 (62.50%)
- Estimated too high in 1997 (0.00%)
- Doesn't Apply (20.83%)



Self-Evaluation of Longevity Risk by Workers (2007)

- Estimated too low in 1997 (28.85%)
- Estimated about right in 1997 (46.15%)
- Estimated too high in 1997 (3.85%)
- Doesn't Apply (21.15%)



Risk Perception: Inflation Retirees v. Workers (1997)



Self-Evaluation of Inflation Risk by Retirees (2007)

- Estimated too low in 1997 (45.83%)
- Estimated about right in 1997 (45.83%)
- Estimated too high in 1997 (0.00%)
- Doesn't Apply (8.33%)



Self-Evaluation of Inflation Risk by Workers (2007)

- Estimated too low in 1997 (26.92%)
- Estimated about right in 1997 (46.15%)
- Estimated too high in 1997 (11.54%)
- Doesn't Apply (15.38%)



Risk Perception: Investment Retirees v. Workers (1997)



Self-Evaluation of Investment Risk by Retirees (2007)

- Estimated too low in 1997 (29.17%)
- Estimated about right in 1997 (41.67%)
- Estimated too high in 1997 (20.83%)
- Doesn't Apply (8.33%)



Self-Evaluation of Investment Risk by Workers (2007)

- Estimated too low in 1997 (30.77%)
- Estimated about right in 1997 (44.23%)
- Estimated too high in 1997 (13.46%)
- Doesn't Apply (11.54%)



Risk Perception: Medical Expense Workers v. Retirees (1997)



Self-Evaluation of Medical Expense Risk by Retirees (2007)

- Estimated too low in 1997 (65.39%)
- Estimated about right in 1997 (23.08%)
- Estimated too high in 1997 (3.85%)
- Doesn't Apply (7.69%)



Self-Evaluation of Medical Expense Risk by Workers (2007)

- Estimated too low in 1997 (40.38%)
- Estimated about right in 1997 (23.08%)
- Estimated too high in 1997 (13.46%)
- Doesn't Apply (23.08%)



Risk Perception: LTC Expense Retirees v. Workers (1997)



Self-Evaluation of LTC Expense Risk by Retirees (2007)

- Estimated too low in 1997 (36.00%)
- Estimated about right in 1997 (20.00%)
- Estimated too high in 1997 (4.00%)
- Doesn't Apply (40.00%)



Self-Evaluation of LTC Expense Risk by Workers (2007)

- Estimated too low in 1997 (21.15%)
- Estimated about right in 1997 (13.46%)
- Estimated too high in 1997 (1.92%)
- Doesn't Apply (63.46%)



Major Motivating Factors in the Distribution Decision





Comparison of Major Motivating Factors: Retirees v. Workers





Benefits Spent on Medical/LTC Expenses





Satisfaction with Distribution Decision





Responses to Financial Literacy Questions





Comparison with HRS Module Results on Financial Literacy

NPERS (% correct)

• Compound Interest (85.4%)

- Inflation (87.8%)
- Stock Risk (79.3%)
- All three Q's correct (74.0%)

HRS (% correct)

- Compound Interest (67.1%)
- Inflation (75.2%)
- Stock Risk (52.3%)
- All three Q's correct
- (34.3%)



Summary of Conclusions from Preliminary Data

- Participants need a better understanding of medical expense risk during retirement.
- Federal tax and social security policy plays an important role in the distribution decision.
- Financially literate individuals appear to be successful in managing a present value distribution of benefits during the early retirement years.



Questions for Further Research

- What demographic cross-tabulations are of interest?
- How do individuals resolve competing perceptions of high risks (e.g., longevity and inflation when the annuity option does not have a COLA feature)?
- How do individuals resolve competing motivational factors?
- Can financial products be created so that an annuity feature is integrated with other desirable features (e.g., a measure of control over the investment of assets)?

